

Quality & Oversight of MDOT's Contracted Services

Presentation to the House Transportation Committee April 20, 2021

Laura Mester

Chief Administrative Officer

Tony Kratofil, PE

Chief Engineer & Chief Operating Officer

Today's Topics



General Overview of MDOT's Contracting



Consultant Contracting



Construction Contracting



Warranties



Innovative Contracting

MDOT's Contracted Services



Construction Contracts

Design-Bid-Build

Design-Build

Other Innovative Contracting (P3s, etc.)

Other Services

Miscellaneous Services (Janitorial, Materials Procurement)

Contracts with Public Agencies for specific activities (Road Maintenance, Transit Activities, etc.)

MDOT's Consultant Services

Consultant Contracts



Construction Engineering, Inspection & Testing

Contracted Consultant Services	Value	% of Total
Design Engineering	\$28,993,905	61%
Construction Engineering, Inspection & Testing	\$47,046,740	56%
	Source: FY 2020 Section 613 Report	

• Vendors Pre-qualified

- Financial Capacity
- Technical Capacity for specific activities
- Contracts selected using Qualifications Based Selection (in accordance with Brooks Act)
 - Request for Proposals
 - Proposals Scored on Quality of Response
 - Contract Terms Negotiated

MDOT's Consultant Services

Consultant Contracts Planning Surveying **Design Engineering** Construction Engineering, Inspection & Testing

- Monitoring Performance & Quality
- Consultant Evaluations
- Dealing with Errors & Omissions
- Auditing Consultant Costs

MDOT's Contractor Services



Contractors Pre-qualified

- Financial Capacity
- Technical Capacity for specific activities
- Contracts selected using Low Bid
 - Advertise for Bids (3-6 weeks)
 - Electronic Bidding Process
 - Unbalanced Bid Review
- Contract Oversight
 - Contractor performs Quality Control (QC)
 - MDOT or our consultant performs Quality Assurance (QA) testing, inspection, measurement

MDOT's Contractor Services

Construction Contracts **Design-Bid-Build Design-Build** Other Innovative Contracting (P3s, etc.)

Contract Documents

- Project PDF Plans (all electronic)
- Moving toward 3D model
- MDOT Standard Specifications & Special Provisions
- MDOT's Standard Specifications are based on National Standards – AASHTO and ASTM
 - Define the nature of the work
 - Required material properties & testing requirements
 - Quality of workmanship
 - Measurement & payment
 - DOES NOT SPECIFY MEANS & METHODS

Material Quality & Testing

- Aggregate Gradation & Quality
- Density of Compacted Materials
- Qualified Products List
- Paving (HMA & Concrete)
 - Contractor QC, Owner QA
 - Percent Within Limits (PWL)
- Training & Certification of Testers
- Certification of Laboratories



Engineering and Inspection Performance

Seven Year Summary of MDOT Projects

Calendar	Original Contract	Final Contract	Percent
Year	Cost	Cost	Difference
2014	\$645,898,553.12	\$649,413,716.71	0.54%
2015	\$709,329,893.84	\$712,559,685.99	0.46%
2016	\$690,303,760.44	\$704,409,643.58	2.04%
2017	\$865,144,563.78	\$862,462,531.03	-0.31%
2018	\$625,072,360.55	\$634,821,481.36	1.56%
2019	\$1,116,644,265.97	\$1,116,217,219.57	-0.04%
2020	\$827,434,317.54	\$831,112,832.97	0.44%

Rebuilding Michigan Bid Results to Date

MDOT Region	Major Route	Location	Engineers Estimate	Low Bid	Bid Difference	Percent Difference
University	I-496	I-96 to Lansing Road	\$52,232,908.33	\$47,817,642.22	-\$4,415,266.11	-8.45%
Southwest & University	I-69	I-69 Corridor	\$214,129,045.00	\$210,180,169.69	-\$3,948,875.31	-1.84%
Southwest	I-94 & US-31	Berrien County	\$133,130,299.00	\$121,483,900.00	-\$11,646,399.00	-8.75%
University	I-94	I-94 Near Jackson	\$97,350,893.92	\$117,137,913.01	\$19,787,019.09	20.33%
Bay	I-69	Cox Doty Drain to M-19	\$46,759,163.34	\$37,806,489.95	-\$8,952,673.69	-19.15%
Grand	I-196	130 th Ave to US-31	\$35,209,172.87	\$33,580,522.97	-\$1,628,649.90	-4.63%
Metro	M-59	Romeo Plank to I-94	\$61,343,654.73	\$60,974,226.42	-\$369,428.31	-0.60%
Bay	I-69	Fenton Road to M-54	\$80,685,546.16	\$100,196,390.96	\$19,510,844.80	24.18%
University	I-69	I-96 to Lansing Road	\$62,808,441.31	\$65,582,371.22	\$2,773,929.91	4.42%
		Cumulative To Date	\$783,649,124.96	\$794,759,626.44	\$11,110,501.48	1.01%

Warranties

Distress thresholds for the specifications are based on Michigan pavement performance data Contractor must carry a Warranty Bond for the life of the warranty

Contractor may add risk cost to their unit bid prices

4,653 Warranties since 1997 632 Active Warranties 11.7% have required corrective action





2 Year Warranties

Chip Seals Micro-Surfacing Ultra-Thin Overlays Hot Mix Asphalt Crack Treatment Bridge Painting Concrete Surface Coating



3 Year Warranties

Non-Structural Hot Mix Asphalt Overlays

Cold Mill and Hot Mix Asphalt Resurfacing

Paver Placed Surface Seal



5 Year Warranties

New Pavement Rubblize & Overlay Crush & Shape & Overlay Multiple Course Hot Mix Asphalt Overlay Cold Mill and Multiple Course Hot Mix Asphalt Overlay Bridge Deck Overlays

Warranty begins when the road is opened to traffic

 Inspection
Interim and Final Inspections are conducted Distresses are measured
Corrective actions may be required if warranty thresholds are exceeded
Typically at the end of the warranty period
Conflict Resolution Process to resolve disputes

Warranty Process

Innovative Contracting

- Construction Manager/General Contractor (CMGC)
- Design-Build
- Job Order Contracting
- Fixed Price/Variable Scope (FPVS)
- Alternate Pavement Bidding
- Alternate Technical Concepts
- Public Private Partnerships



Design - Build

- Transfers <u>some</u> schedule risk to the DB team
- Transfers <u>some</u> design quality risk to the DB team
- QC/QA risk allocation stays the same. MDOT retains long-term performance risk.
- Accelerates overall delivery schedule (concept to completion)
- Promotes innovation in design details, construction approach





Public – Private Partnerships

(Design-Build-Finance-Operate-Maintain)

- Transfers schedule risk to the P3 team
- Transfers design quality risk to the P3 team
- Transfers QC/QA risk to the P3 team
- Transfers long-term performance risk to the P3 team
- Changes the role of the owner agency to "verification" vs. "inspection & testing"
- Promotes innovation in design details, material selection, construction approach

Questions?

Laura Mester Chief Administrative Officer Tony Kratofil, PE Chief Engineer & Chief Operating Officer

